# LANFEX : Understand fog behaviour in a region of small hills

L. Ducongé, C. Lac, T. Bergot, B. Vié

**CNRM/GMME/PHY-NH** 

In collaboration with J. Price, I. Boutle

Met Office - UK

#### Introduction

Surface heterogeneities : topography  $\rightarrow$  Local dynamic effects (Catabatic winds, cold-pools, gravity waves, turbulence...)  $\rightarrow$  COULD TRIGGER THE LOCAL FOG OCCURRENCE



## Impact of orography on fog with Large-Eddy Simulations and 2-moment microphysics

Introduction

# LANFEX Local And Non-local Fog EXperiment

« Why a same air mass could lead to different fog conditions at different places ? »



LANFEX INSTRUMENTATION : SHROPSHIRE HILLS

 $\rightarrow$  3-D measurements of fog variability

# ★ 5 MAIN SITES

- Flux towers  $(2 \rightarrow 50m)$  / Surface energy balance
- Spectrometer / Radiometer / Ceilometer / LIDAR
- Radiosondes
- Dewmeter (Price and Clark, 2012)
- IR camera (8-12 μm)

8 secondary sites Fogmonitor : T, RH, wind at 1.2m



Fogmonitor station at Vron Ridge secondary site

Dewmeter SETTLING + DEPOSITION+ DEW







50m mast at Skyborry main site

## Impact of orography on fog with Large-Eddy Simulations and 2-moment microphysics

I. Introduction

## II. Comparison of Meso-NH simulation with Unified Model from Met Office

III. Simulation with 2-moment microphysics

IV. Effects of circulations on fog with budgets and lagrangian trajectories



## Downscaling method : AROME 1.3km $\rightarrow$ LES resolution (~4m)

## **<u>1st step</u>** : **1**-moment microphysics + 100m resolution

IOP 12  $\rightarrow$  1<sup>st</sup>-2<sup>nd</sup> October 2015





#### Intercomparison work between Meso-NH and Unified Model of Met Office on POI 12 of LANFEX

|                                | Meso-NH<br>100m horizontal resolution<br>27*22.5 km   | Unified Model<br>100m horizontal resolution<br>46*35 km  |
|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Initial/coupling<br>conditions | 01/10 12h : AROME 1.3km + MNH 500m<br>2-way after 18h<br>Coupling every hour                              | 01/10 12h : <b>UK model 1.5km</b><br><b>1-way after 13h</b><br>Coupling every 15mn   |
| Vertical resolution            | 1m [0,70m] → stretch → 50m top (1400m)<br>148 levels  | 1m first level → stretch → 50m at 950m<br>43 levels  |
| Turbulence scheme              | 3D turbulence ( <i>Cuxart et al. 2001)</i><br>Deardorff (1980) mixing length                              | Blend : 1D ( <i>Lock, 2000</i> ) + 3D Smag.<br>In SBL $\rightarrow$ more 3D Smag (more local terms)  |
| Subgrid condensation scheme    | <b>Constant RH</b> <sub>crit</sub> <b>= 96 %</b><br>De Rooy et al. 2010, HIRLAM newletter                 | RH <sub>crit</sub> = 0.99 surf → 0.9 at 3.5km<br>Constant above  |
| Surface                        | CORINE LAND COVER 100m + SURFEX<br>+ Drag effect of canopy<br>( Aumond et al. 2008 ; Mazoyer et al. 2017) | JULES scheme<br>+ Roughness length as a fraction of canopy<br>height   |
| Microphysical scheme           | 1-moment ICE3<br>+ deposition   | 1-moment   |
|                                | Constant Nc = 300 #.cm <sup>-3</sup>  | Nc = 50 #.cm <sup>-s</sup> below 50m<br>+ 1 prognostic aerosol specie used to<br>calculate Nc above 50m and diagnostic<br>horizontal visibility<br>(Clark et al. 2008) |



1.5m time-serie of temperature and relative humidity





Intercomparison work between Meso-NH and Unified Model of Met Office

Main ingredients :

**Subgrid condensation scheme** 

Large-scale fields

**Vertical and horizontal resolution** 

**Microphysics/aerosols (Boutle et al. 2017)** 

→ Nesting at 13hUTC to better capture the evening transition and drainage flow formations in narrow valleys

 $\rightarrow$  Intercomparison between MNH and UM pursued on an other IOP with 2-moment microphysics

## Impact of orography on fog with Large-Eddy Simulations and 2-moment microphysics

I. Introduction

II. Comparison of Meso-NH simulation with Unified Model from Met Office

# III. Simulation with 2-moment microphysics

IV. Effects of circulations of fog with budgets and lagrangian trajectories

Meso-NH simulation with 2-moment microphysical scheme LIMA (Vié et al. 2015)



## **Destinated to french operational forecast model AROME**

## **Prognostic evolution** of a multimodal aerosol population

## Detailed activation process

No radiative impact of  $N_{aerosols}/N_{droplets}$  at first step

No subgrid condensation scheme

Initialization with realistic population Sulfates (continental type)  $D_m = 0.25 \ \mu m$   $\sigma = 1.6$   $N_i = 300 \ \#.cm^{-3}$ Homogeneous on vertical



### Comparison ICE3 - LIMA



# ICE3 : without subgrid condensation scheme

No impacts on fog life cycle and dynamics

Study of microphysics



### Time-evolution of vertical profiles at JAY BARNS : 0-300m



| N <sub>c</sub> ~ 50-300 #.cm <sup>-3</sup> |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| $S_{max} \sim 0.05 - 0.15$ %               |  |  |



2-moment microphysics LIMA for fog modelling



Realistic values of Nc and supersaturation  $\rightarrow$  microphysical information

- → Sensitivity test to aerosol type : organics, more hydrophobic
- $\rightarrow$  Study of circulations on the dynamics and microphysics of fog

## Impact of orography on fog with Large-Eddy Simulations and 2-moment microphysics

I. Introduction

II. Comparison of Meso-NH simulation with Unified Model from Met Office

III. Simulation with 2-moment microphysics

IV. Effects of circulations on fog with budgets and lagrangian trajectories

#### JAYBARNS : FORMATION Averaged budgets on 22h00-22h30 UTC + retrotrajectories

Particles arrive at 22h20 between 0-15m at Jay Barns site

Influence of surroundings valleys + flow canalized by the topography







PENTRE : NO FOG Averaged budgets on 22h00-22h30 UTC + retrotrajectories

150 0.6 Height above ground (m) 120 90 60 30 0 22.0 23.0 25.0 26.0 24.0 Hours since 01/10/2015 00hUTC 15 PREF COND+CCN DISS **HTURB** 12 VTURB RAD ADV -тот Height (m) 9 6 TOT 3 **ADV VTURB** RAD 0 -8 8 0 -4 4 ∂TH (K/h)∂t

Particles arrive at 22h20 on Pentre site between 0-15m Drainage flow + influence of synoptic flow trapped in the valley



#### **INTERCOMPARISON MNH-UM**

Allows to point out the weaknesses of each models

An other intercomparison will be carried out for another IOP at Cardington (same forcing/resolution) to focus on microphysics with observations

#### 2-MOMENT MICROPHYSICAL SCHEME LIMA

No major change compared to other ingredients

Realistic microphysical information  $\rightarrow$  study of impact of dynamics on microphysics

Further tests on aerosol characteristics + heterogeneous initialization

#### **EFFECTS OF CIRCULATIONS ON FOG FORMATION**

Advective processes seem to play an important role for the time of fog formation

Drainage flow in narrow valleys prevents fog formation

Even in a large valley, topography seems to impose its dynamic conditions

Focus on other stages of fog (development, mature at Jay Barns) Better quantify the influence of flows on the fog conditions at each site

# Thank you for your attention